

Amendments to the Drawings:

The drawings were objected to because there was no descriptive legend provided for each of the blocks in FIG. 1 represented by rectangles or squares. Also, there were no logic statements included in the flow chart of FIG. 2.

In view of the above, applicants propose to amend FIGS. 1 and 2 to add the legends shown on the replacement sheets therefor submitted herewith and the Examiner's approval thereof is respectfully requested.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

Remarks

Claims 1 and 10 are amended. Claims 1 to 10 are pending in this application of which claims 1 and 10 are in independent form.

Claims 1 to 10 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because in both claims 1 and 10 the term "the input" should be -- the input vehicle deceleration -- in order to avoid the antecedent problem. Accordingly, both claims 1 and 10 are amended as suggested by the Examiner.

Also, the phrase "at first deactivating only the deceleration function" was vague and indefinite since no other functions are being recited in the claims. Claims 1 and 10 are both amended to delete the term "at first".

With these amendments, claims 1 to 10 should now be definite as required by the statute.

Claims 1 to 10 are otherwise allowable so that the application should now be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration of the application is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Walter Ottesen
Reg. No. 25,544

Walter Ottesen
Patent Attorney
P.O. Box 4026
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20885-4026

Phone: (301) 869-8950

Date: June 12, 2006